

Constructing and Empirically Validating Adaptive Curriculum Graphs for Personalized Learning Paths

Qiang SUN

North China University of Technology sunqiang206@126.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9964-2972>

Abstract

This paper presents a novel methodology for constructing and empirically validating Adaptive Curriculum Graphs (ACGs) designed to generate personalized learning paths (PLPs) within Artificial Intelligence Education Studies. The construction process involves automated concept extraction from diverse educational materials, sophisticated prerequisite relationship modeling, graph assembly, and algorithms for dynamic adaptation based on learner interactions. The empirical validation employs an experimental research design to assess the ACG-driven PLPs against traditional learning approaches. Key findings indicate that the proposed ACG framework significantly improves learning outcomes, enhances student engagement, and increases learner satisfaction. This research contributes a robust, adaptable system for personalized education, offering practical implications for educators and technology developers. The originality of this work lies in its comprehensive approach to building dynamically adaptive curriculum structures and its rigorous empirical validation, addressing existing gaps in the personalized learning landscape.

Keywords Personalized Learning; Adaptive Learning Systems; Curriculum Graph; Knowledge Graph

1 Introduction

1.1 The Imperative for Personalized Learning in Modern Education

The landscape of modern education is undergoing a significant transformation, marked by a decisive shift away from traditional one-size-fits-all pedagogical models. There is a growing recognition that effective learning must be tailored to the individual, accommodating diverse student needs, unique learning styles, varying paces of comprehension, and distinct personal preferences. This paradigm shift is largely propelled by advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI), which offer unprecedented capabilities to move beyond conventional methods and create genuinely customized learning environments. The increasing heterogeneity of learners, coupled with the demand for more flexible and efficient educational pathways, necessitates innovative approaches that can adapt to each student's journey. Personalized learning (PL) aims to make the educational experience more active and customized, thereby enhancing its efficiency and effectiveness.

1.2 Limitations of Existing Approaches and the Potential of Curriculum Graphs

While many contemporary personalized learning systems offer adaptive content delivery, they often lack a deep, structured understanding of the intricate conceptual interconnectedness within a curriculum. Some systems may provide recommendations but fail to ensure coherence across the learning path, or they might rely on static knowledge graphs that do not evolve with learner progress or updates to the curriculum itself. Curriculum graphs, often implemented as knowledge graphs (KGs), present a powerful mechanism for representing domain knowledge, encompassing educational concepts and the multifaceted relationships between them. These graphical structures can map out the terrain of a subject, making explicit the foundational knowledge required for more advanced topics.

© The Authors 2025. Article History Received: June 16, 2025; Accepted: August 10, 2025; Published: November 30, 2025. *Artificial Intelligence Education Studies*, ISSN 3079-8086 (print), ISSN 3079-8094 (online), a Quarterly, founded on 2025, published by Creative Publishing Co., Limited. Email: wtoom@gmail.com, <https://ai-es.org>, <https://cpcl.hk>. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original author(s) and source are credited, and derivative works are licensed under the same terms.

However, a critical examination of current educational graph implementations reveals a significant consideration. Many existing educational knowledge graphs, while valuable for representing concepts and their interrelations, tend to be static or are updated infrequently. This static nature provides a snapshot of knowledge at a particular point in time but does not inherently cater to the dynamic and evolving nature of individual learning trajectories or the continuous refinement of understanding within the domain itself. Personalized learning, by its very definition, demands responsiveness and continuous adjustment based on learner performance and evolving needs. Consequently, a mere knowledge graph, however detailed, is often insufficient. It requires integrated mechanisms for dynamic adaptation to bridge the gap between a fixed representation of knowledge and the fluid reality of learning. This observation underscores the necessity for adaptive curriculum graphs, which can modify their structure or the paths generated from them in response to ongoing learning processes. The research presented herein directly addresses this by focusing not only on the initial construction of a curriculum graph but critically on its adaptive capabilities, making the curriculum representation itself responsive to the learner and the learning context.

1.3 Defining Adaptive Curriculum Graphs (ACGs) for Personalized Learning Paths (PLPs)

An Adaptive Curriculum Graph (ACG) is defined as a dynamic, structured representation of curriculum knowledge where nodes typically represent learning concepts, topics, or objectives, and edges denote prerequisite dependencies, semantic similarities, or other pedagogical relationships. The adaptive nature of an ACG is central to its utility; it signifies the graph's capacity to be updated or to influence path generation dynamically based on accumulating learner data, instructor feedback, or changes in the curriculum itself. Personalized Learning Paths (PLPs), in this context, are sequences of learning activities and resources generated from the ACG, tailored to an individual learner's prior knowledge, learning goals, pace, and performance. ACGs provide the foundational structure that enables the generation of PLPs that are not only personalized but also coherent and pedagogically sound, moving beyond simple content recommendation to orchestrate a comprehensive learning journey.

1.4 Research Objectives, Questions, and Contributions

This research is guided by the overarching goal of developing and validating a system that leverages ACGs to deliver effective PLPs. The specific objectives are first, to develop a robust and replicable methodology for constructing ACGs from diverse educational resources, particularly within AI education studies. Second, to design and implement sophisticated algorithms capable of generating optimal and personalized learning paths by traversing the ACG, considering learner models and pedagogical constraints. Third, to create and integrate mechanisms that allow for the dynamic adaptation of the ACG itself or the learning paths derived from it, based on continuous learner interactions and performance data. Fourth, to empirically validate the effectiveness of the ACG-driven PLPs by comparing them against traditional or less adaptive learning approaches, focusing on measurable improvements in learning outcomes, student engagement, and learner satisfaction.

Key research questions addressed in this paper include how an ACG can be effectively constructed to accurately represent complex curriculum structures and critical prerequisite dependencies within the AI domain. Further questions explore what algorithmic approaches are most effective for generating coherent, optimal, and genuinely personalized learning paths from a dynamically maintained ACG. Additionally, the study asks how learner interaction data and performance metrics can be effectively leveraged to dynamically adapt the ACG or the recommended learning paths to meet evolving student needs. The research also investigates whether the implementation of ACG-driven PLPs leads to statistically significant and practically meaningful improvements in student learning outcomes compared to conventional instructional methods. Finally, the paper examines how students perceive the personalized learning paths generated by the ACG in terms of relevance, engagement, challenge, and overall satisfaction with their learning experience.

The primary contributions of this paper are threefold. First is the proposal of a novel and comprehensive framework for the construction of ACGs tailored for AI education. Second is the development of innovative algorithms for dynamic path personalization and adaptation within this framework. Third is the presentation of rigorous empirical evidence validating the efficacy of this approach. This research aims to provide a holistic overview of ACG-driven personalized learning, identify gaps in current knowledge, and offer practical implications for the design and deployment of next-generation intelligent educational systems.

2 Theoretical Foundations and Related Work

2.1 Evolution of Personalized Learning from Theory to AI-Driven Systems

The concept of personalized learning (PL) is not new; its roots can be traced to foundational principles within cognitive psychology and constructivist learning theory. Constructivist perspectives, for instance, emphasize that learning is an active process of constructing knowledge, often through social interactions and personal experiences. Historically, achieving true personalization at scale was a significant challenge. However, the advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has revolutionized the potential of PL, enabling data-driven customization of learning content, pacing, and assessment on a scale previously unimaginable. AI algorithms can analyze vast amounts of student data—ranging from interaction logs to assessment results—to predict performance, identify knowledge gaps, and tailor educational content accordingly. A growing body of literature indicates a positive correlation between AI-driven PLPs and improvements in key educational indicators such as academic performance, student engagement, and retention rates.

2.2 Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) Core Technologies and Pedagogical Models

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) are a direct manifestation of AI's application in personalized education. These systems are designed to dynamically adjust the delivery of content, the pace of instruction, and the nature of assessments based on individual student characteristics, real-time performance, and inferred needs. Common AI and machine learning (ML) techniques employed in ALS include algorithms for mapping student behavioral attributes to learning styles, recommending relevant resources, automatically grading submissions, and even predicting future learning trajectories. Systematic reviews of ALS have consistently highlighted their potential to enhance student engagement, improve retention rates, and boost academic achievement. These systems often analyze learner diversity based on factors like existing knowledge levels and individual characteristics, with the most common personalization features being the adaptation of teaching materials and the generation of customized learning paths.

The role of AI within these adaptive systems can be conceptualized along a spectrum. At one end, AI provides supportive functions, such as automating routine tasks like grading or offering resource recommendations, which constitutes a basic level of personalization. At the other, more advanced AI, particularly when integrated with a deeply structured understanding of the curriculum, as an ACG aims to provide, can move beyond isolated recommendations to orchestrate an entire personalized learning journey. This involves dynamically adapting the learning path itself, sequencing content optimally for each individual, and responding intelligently to their evolving understanding. This distinction suggests that while some AI applications offer incremental benefits, the integration of AI with comprehensive curriculum models like ACGs pushes towards the more ambitious goal of enabling fully personalized and holistic learning experiences. The ACG framework proposed in this paper is designed to leverage AI for this deeper level of personalization, rather than confining it to discrete support functions.

2.3 Curriculum and Knowledge Graphs in Education State-of-the-Art

Knowledge Graphs (KGs) are semantic network structures that represent knowledge as a collection of entities and the relationships between them. In the educational domain, KGs, often referred to as curriculum graphs when focused on course content, have gained increasing popularity, particularly in higher education and STEM fields. Their applications are diverse and impactful, including enhancing the representation of complex knowledge domains, providing personalized learning recommendations, facilitating concept instruction, improving the efficiency of learning resource searches, predicting educational outcomes, supporting automated assessment and feedback, and aiding in curriculum design and management. By structuring educational content and interlinking concepts, KGs can offer learners and educators more effective navigation, discovery, and reuse of educational resources.

2.3.1 Construction Methodologies and Challenges

The construction of KGs in education typically involves processing diverse data resources, such as course materials, student learning behaviors, and online encyclopedias or databases. Key technical means employed include the development of knowledge ontologies, which provide a formal naming and definition of the types, properties, and interrelationships of the entities that fundamentally exist for a particular domain, and the application of various techniques for creating and populating the KG itself.

Despite their promise, the construction of educational KGs is fraught with challenges. A frequently cited limitation is the insufficient information available or provided regarding the construction process, making replication or adaptation difficult. Another significant hurdle is the difficulty in extending KG applications across different subject areas, as domain-specific knowledge and structures vary widely. Furthermore, the restricted scale and scope of data resources often limit the generalizability and comprehensiveness of the resulting KGs, and there is often a lack of comprehensive user feedback and robust evaluation processes. Specifically, in the context of extracting KGs from textbooks, the absence of a predefined, domain-specific schema often poses a major challenge to accurately identifying and relating concepts.

This highlights a fundamental issue in educational KG construction. To build a high-quality KG, a clear understanding of the domain's concepts and their relationships, essentially a schema, is highly beneficial, if not necessary. However, this schema is often what the KG itself is intended to help elucidate, formalize, or discover, particularly in complex, evolving, or ill-defined educational domains. This creates a cyclical dependency where good data and a well-defined schema are needed for a good KG, but a good KG can, in turn, help to better understand and structure the domain knowledge and refine the schema. This suggests that methodologies for constructing ACGs must address this by incorporating iterative refinement processes, potentially leveraging expert input in conjunction with automated extraction and schema induction techniques, rather than assuming a perfect, predefined schema from the outset.

2.3.2 Prerequisite Relation Extraction A Cornerstone for Curriculum Graphs

Within the broader context of curriculum graphs, the accurate identification and modeling of prerequisite relations (PRs) between educational concepts is of paramount importance. PRs define the essential dependencies between concepts, indicating that understanding one concept is necessary or highly beneficial for comprehending another. These relations form the backbone of logical learning sequences and are thus critical for generating meaningful and effective personalized learning paths that students can follow.

Various methods have been proposed for PR extraction. These range from graph-based ranking techniques applied to educational data, to more sophisticated iterative learning frameworks such as iPRL, which combines learning-based models utilizing concept pair features with recovery-based models leveraging dependencies among learning materials. AI-assisted methodologies are also emerging, which typically combine machine learning techniques, such as the use of semantic references captured through word embeddings, with expert evaluation to refine and validate the extracted relations. Despite these advancements, PR extraction remains a challenging task, primarily due to the common difficulty of operating without extensive labeled data and the inherently labor-intensive and time-consuming nature of manual annotation and validation by domain experts. The quality of the ACG, and consequently the PLPs derived from it, is heavily reliant on the accuracy and completeness of these extracted prerequisite links.

A comparative analysis of existing curriculum and knowledge graph approaches in education underscores a common theme. While many approaches focus on the initial construction and representation of knowledge, the aspects of dynamic adaptivity based on ongoing learner interactions and the rigorous empirical validation of personalized learning paths derived from these graphs are often less developed. The proposed ACG framework aims to address these specific areas, emphasizing not just a static representation but a learning-aware, adaptive structure that is validated for its impact on personalization and learning effectiveness.

3 Constructing the Adaptive Curriculum Graph (ACG) A Methodological Framework

3.1 Conceptual Architecture of the ACG System

The proposed Adaptive Curriculum Graph (ACG) system is designed as a multi-component architecture to support the construction, maintenance, and utilization of the graph for personalized learning. The system operates through several distinct stages. First, data ingestion and preprocessing occurs where educational content from various sources is ingested and standardized. Second, a Concept and Relation Extraction Module identifies key learning concepts and models prerequisite and other relationships between them. Third, a Graph Construction and Enrichment Engine assembles the extracted concepts and relations into the ACG, which can be enriched with external data or metadata. Fourth, a Learner Modeling Component creates and updates individual learner profiles, capturing knowledge states, learning progress, preferences, and goals. Fifth, a Path Personalization Algorithm utilizes the ACG and the learner model to generate tailored learning paths. Sixth, an Adaptation Engine dynamically modifies learning paths or,

potentially, the ACG itself based on learner interactions and performance feedback. Finally, a User Interface and Learning Platform provides the interface for learners to interact with the personalized content and for educators to monitor progress.

3.2 Data Acquisition and Preprocessing for Curriculum Content

The initial phase of ACG construction involves gathering and preparing the curriculum content. For this research, focusing on AI Education Studies, data sources include academic textbooks on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, seminal and recent research papers in relevant AI subfields, MOOC materials such as lecture transcripts and syllabi, and university course syllabi from established AI programs. The diversity of these sources necessitates robust preprocessing. Steps include text cleaning to remove irrelevant characters and formatting inconsistencies, segmentation to break down documents into meaningful units like chapters and sections, normalization to standardize text through lowercasing and stemming, and structuring to convert varied data formats into a consistent internal representation suitable for analysis. This preprocessing stage is crucial for ensuring the quality of input to the subsequent extraction modules.

3.3 Automated Concept Extraction and Representation

Identifying key educational concepts from the preprocessed content is a core task. This research employs a hybrid approach. NLP-driven Candidate Extraction uses techniques such as Named Entity Recognition tuned for educational terms, term frequency-inverse document frequency analysis to identify salient keywords, and part-of-speech tagging to filter for noun phrases to generate candidate concepts. Graph-based Ranking methods like TextRank or PageRank adaptations are applied to the corpus to identify centrally important concepts. Optional but recommended Expert Refinement involves a review of extracted concepts by domain experts to filter out noise and add missing critical concepts. Extracted concepts are represented as nodes in the ACG. Each concept node can store attributes such as a canonical name and alternative labels, a textual definition extracted from the source, associated learning objectives, an estimated difficulty level, and links to specific learning resources where the concept is taught.

3.4 Modeling Prerequisite Dependencies and Inter-Concept Relationships

The accurate modeling of prerequisite relationships is fundamental to the ACG's utility in generating logical learning paths. This research adopts an enhanced version of an AI-assisted methodology. The process begins with Automated Candidate Pair Generation where all possible pairs of extracted concepts are considered potential prerequisite relationships. Feature Engineering for Prerequisite Prediction then follows. For each concept pair, features are engineered to predict if one is a prerequisite for the other. These features include textual co-occurrence and ordering, semantic similarity using vector representations, linguistic cues involving indicative phrases, and structural information from table of contents or syllabi. Initial Prerequisite Scoring involves training a machine learning model on a small, expertly labeled seed dataset to assign a prerequisite probability score to all candidate pairs. Iterative Expert Validation and Refinement is the final step. Concept pairs with high prerequisite scores are presented to domain experts for validation. Expert feedback is used to retrain and improve the prerequisite prediction model iteratively. Transitivity in prerequisites can be used to infer additional relations and check for consistency. Beyond prerequisites, other relationships such as similarity or part-whole relations are also modeled using semantic similarity measures and pattern-based extraction.

3.5 Graph Assembly and Enrichment Strategies

Once concepts and their relationships are extracted and modeled, they are assembled into a coherent graph structure using graph database technologies or network analysis libraries. The ACG is further enriched through several strategies. Linking to External Knowledge Bases connects concepts in the ACG to corresponding entities in established knowledge bases like DBpedia or domain-specific ontologies. Incorporating Metadata augments nodes and edges with information about associated learning resource types, estimated time required for mastery, pedagogical roles, and edge weights based on confidence scores. Modularization through Graph Clustering groups concepts into broader topics or modules based on the density of their interconnections, which helps in organizing the curriculum at a higher level of abstraction and aiding in generating module-based learning paths.

3.6 Algorithm for Generating Personalized Learning Paths (PLPs) from the ACG

The core function of the ACG is to serve as a foundation for generating PLPs. The algorithm developed for this purpose integrates learner-specific information with the structural and semantic information encoded in the graph. The inputs are the Learner Model, which includes current knowledge state, gaps, goals, and preferences, and the Adaptive Curriculum Graph itself. The process employs a graph traversal strategy where the cost function for pathfinding considers multiple factors. Prerequisite Adherence ensures paths respect all dependencies. Concept Difficulty biases paths towards concepts matching the learner's proficiency. Relevance to Learning Goals prioritizes concepts on the shortest path to achieving stated goals. Learner's Prior Knowledge ensures mastered concepts are skipped or marked for review. Pedagogical Constraints incorporate factors like learning time and resource diversity. The output is an ordered sequence of concepts and associated learning resources that constitutes the PLP for the learner.

3.7 Mechanisms for Dynamic Adaptation based on Learner Interactions and Performance

A key feature of the ACG system is its capacity for dynamic adaptation. This adaptation can occur at multiple levels. Path Adaptation is the most immediate form. As a learner progresses, their interactions are monitored. Based on real-time performance, the Adaptation Engine modifies the current PLP. If a learner demonstrates mastery quickly, subsequent concepts might be introduced sooner. If a learner struggles, the system might insert remedial sub-paths. Learner Model Adaptation ensures that all learner interactions feed back into the Learner Modeling Component, updating the student's individual profile including knowledge states and misconceptions. Graph Adaptation represents a more profound level where the ACG structure itself might evolve based on aggregated data. For example, if students consistently struggle with a concept despite prerequisites, the system might flag that link. If successful learners follow a non-obvious sequence, new pathways might be suggested. The system presented in this paper primarily implements and validates Path Adaptation and Learner Model Adaptation.

4 Empirical Validation Design and Execution

4.1 Research Design and Rationale

To empirically validate the effectiveness of the ACG-driven PLPs, a robust research design is essential. This study employed an experimental research design, specifically a Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT). The primary independent variable was the learning approach, with two levels. The Treatment Group consisted of students learning with the ACG-powered system, receiving personalized learning paths. The Control Group consisted of students learning the same content using a traditional, non-adaptive approach. The dependent variables measured were student learning gains, engagement levels, and satisfaction with the learning experience. The rationale for this design is to directly compare the impact of the ACG-driven personalization against a conventional baseline, thereby isolating the effect of the adaptive curriculum graph and its associated path generation algorithms.

4.2 Participant Characteristics and Educational Context

The target population for this study comprised undergraduate students enrolled in an introductory Artificial Intelligence course at a large public university. Participants were recruited on a voluntary basis, with a total of 150 students consenting to participate. They were randomly assigned to either the treatment group or the control group. Demographic information was collected to check for baseline equivalence. The study was conducted over a period of one academic semester. Both groups covered the same core AI topics. The treatment group accessed course materials through the ACG system, while the control group used the university's standard LMS with materials presented in a predefined sequence.

4.3 The Personalized Learning Intervention using the Adaptive Curriculum Graph

Students in the treatment group interacted with the ACG-powered learning system. Upon initial login, they completed a diagnostic assessment to establish their baseline knowledge. Based on this assessment and their self-stated learning goals, the system generated an initial PLP. As students progressed, their performance and interactions were tracked. The Adaptation Engine dynamically adjusted their PLPs by recommending prerequisite concepts if a student struggled, allowing students to accelerate through topics they mastered quickly, suggesting supplementary

resources based on performance patterns, and periodically offering opportunities to revise learning goals. Students in the control group followed a more traditional learning structure. They were provided with the same set of learning resources organized linearly according to the standard course syllabus and did not receive dynamic personalization.

4.4 Data Collection Instruments and Procedures

A multi-faceted approach to data collection was employed. Knowledge Assessment involved a Pre-test administered at the beginning of the semester and a Post-test administered at the end, along with Module Quizzes for specific concepts. Learner Engagement was tracked via System Logs for the treatment group and LMS Logs for the control group, alongside a Self-Reported Engagement Survey. Learner Satisfaction and Perceptions were measured using a Personalized Learning Experience Questionnaire for the treatment group and a General Learning Experience Survey for both groups. Qualitative Data was gathered through optional Focus Group Interviews with a subset of students from the treatment group. All data collection procedures were standardized across groups where applicable.

4.5 Key Evaluation Metrics and Analytical Approach

The effectiveness of the ACG-driven PLPs was evaluated using a range of metrics. Learning Outcomes were assessed via Normalized Learning Gain, Module Quiz Performance, and Course Completion Rate. Engagement was measured by Time on Task, Resource Interaction Frequency, and Self-Reported Engagement scores. Satisfaction was gauged through Overall Learner Satisfaction, Perceived Personalization, and Net Promoter Score. System Performance was analyzed using Path Adherence and Adaptation Frequency.

The analytical approach for quantitative data involved descriptive statistics calculated for all variables. Independent samples t-tests or ANCOVA were used to compare the treatment and control groups on learning outcomes. T-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare groups on engagement metrics and satisfaction scores. Chi-square tests were used for categorical data. Within the treatment group, correlational analyses explored relationships between usage patterns and outcomes. Qualitative data from focus groups were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes and insights.

5 Results and Findings

5.1 Characteristics of the Constructed Adaptive Curriculum Graph

The ACG for the introductory AI course was constructed using the outlined methodology. The final graph consisted of 285 core AI concepts that were extracted and validated. Each concept was associated with learning objectives and linked to relevant sections in textbooks and MOOC modules. A total of 672 prerequisite relationships were identified and validated, forming the primary structure for path generation. An additional 450 semantic similarity edges and 120 example edges were incorporated. The density of the prerequisite subgraph was 0.0083, indicating a sparse but structured network. The average shortest path between foundational concepts and advanced concepts was 7.8 concepts.

5.2 Impact on Student Learning Outcomes

The primary analysis focused on comparing the learning outcomes of the treatment group and the control group. Students in the treatment group demonstrated a significantly higher mean Normalized Learning Gain compared to the control group. After controlling for pre-test scores, the treatment group had significantly higher adjusted mean post-test scores. Across the 10 modules in the course, the treatment group consistently scored higher on average on module quizzes, with the difference being statistically significant for 7 out of the 10 modules. The course completion rate for the treatment group was 93.3 percent, while for the control group it was 85.3 percent, though this difference was not statistically significant.

5.3 Effects on Learner Engagement, Motivation, and Satisfaction

Students in the treatment group spent a similar amount of total time interacting with learning materials as the control group, but qualitative feedback suggested they perceived their time as more efficiently used. Treatment group students interacted with a significantly greater variety of resources per module compared to control group

students. On the post-semester engagement survey, the treatment group reported significantly higher levels of cognitive and behavioral engagement. Overall satisfaction with the learning experience was significantly higher for the treatment group. On the Personalized Learning Experience Questionnaire, a large majority of students agreed that their learning path felt relevant to their needs and found the adaptations helpful.

5.4 Analysis of Personalized Learning Path Effectiveness and Adaptability

Analysis of system logs from the treatment group provided insights into the personalization process. No two students in the treatment group received the exact same sequence of all learning concepts and resources, highlighting the high degree of personalization. On average, each student's PLP was adapted 12.7 times over the semester. Adaptations included adding remedial content, skipping ahead, or suggesting alternative resources. Students whose paths were adapted more frequently tended to have higher learning gains. Individual cases illustrated how the ACG's ability to tailor paths dynamically translated into tangible benefits, such as allowing high-achieving students to explore advanced topics and providing targeted remedial support to those with foundational gaps.

6 Discussion

6.1 Interpretation of Key Findings in Relation to Research Objectives

The results of this empirical validation largely support the research objectives. The developed methodology for ACG construction yielded a comprehensive and structured representation of the AI curriculum. The algorithms for PLP generation and adaptation demonstrated their capacity to create diverse, individualized learning experiences. Most critically, the empirical study provided strong evidence for the effectiveness of ACG-driven PLPs. The successful construction of a detailed ACG with validated prerequisite links suggests the methodology is effective. The diversity of paths generated and positive learner feedback indicate that the personalization algorithms worked well. The frequent adaptations and their correlation with learning gains suggest the system was responsive and beneficial. The significantly higher learning gains and post-test scores provide a clear affirmative answer regarding the improvement of learning outcomes. Higher reported engagement and satisfaction indicate that students perceived the personalized paths positively.

6.2 Novelty and Significance of the Adaptive Curriculum Graph Approach

The novelty of the ACG framework lies in its holistic approach. It combines robust curriculum representation with dynamic learner modeling and adaptive path generation, all empirically validated within a specific educational domain. While knowledge graphs and adaptive learning systems are established concepts, their synergistic integration into a dynamically adaptive curriculum graph is a significant advancement. The ACG moves towards a system where the curriculum representation itself can learn and improve. The significance of this approach offers a more principled way to design personalized learning experiences, ensuring that adaptation is guided by a structured understanding of the knowledge domain.

6.3 Implications for Educational Practice and Technology Development

The findings have several practical implications. For educators, ACGs can serve as powerful tools for understanding curriculum structure and identifying learning bottlenecks. For instructional designers, the methodology can inform curriculum development by encouraging a focus on concept relationships. For EdTech developers, this research provides a validated framework for building more intelligent and effective personalized learning platforms. The successful implementation suggests that such systems are feasible and can yield significant educational benefits.

6.4 Theoretical Contributions to AI in Education and Learning Sciences

This research contributes to the theoretical understanding of personalized learning by demonstrating a concrete mechanism through which personalization can be achieved in a structured and adaptive manner. It extends work on educational knowledge graphs by incorporating dynamic adaptation. Furthermore, the concept of an ACG that evolves based on collective student interactions opens theoretical possibilities for using such systems to empirically validate and refine pedagogical strategies at scale.

7 Addressing Challenges, Limitations, and Future Directions

7.1 Acknowledging Study Limitations

Several limitations must be acknowledged. The study was conducted with undergraduate AI students at a single university, limiting generalizability. The intervention was limited to one academic semester, so long-term effects were not assessed. The ACG model focused primarily on prerequisite relationships, potentially missing the full complexity of inter-concept connections. A novelty effect may have influenced engagement levels. Finally, the effectiveness of personalization depends heavily on the quality of the input curriculum data and the learner model.

7.2 Navigating Challenges

Developing ACG systems involves navigating challenges such as the cold-start problem, where providing personalization for new students is difficult without initial data. Data privacy and security are also paramount, requiring strict adherence to regulations and ethical guidelines. Algorithmic fairness and bias must be addressed to avoid inequitable outcomes. Model interpretability is another challenge, as opaque decision-making can hinder trust and insight. Designing and evaluating ACG systems requires a holistic approach that acknowledges these interdependencies.

7.3 Future Research Directions

Future research could explore enhancing learner models with multimodal data to provide a richer understanding of learning processes. Incorporating affective computing to recognize and adapt to student emotions is another promising avenue. Developing lifelong learning capabilities for ACGs would allow them to evolve over time and across different domains. Testing scalability and generalizability, as well as developing advanced prerequisite inference and graph adaptation techniques, are key research challenges. Finally, investigating how ACGs can support collaborative and social learning scenarios is an important direction.

8 Conclusion

8.1 Recapitulation of Major Contributions and Findings

This paper has presented a comprehensive methodology for the construction and empirical validation of Adaptive Curriculum Graphs aimed at delivering personalized learning paths. The key contributions include a novel framework for building dynamic curriculum structures, sophisticated algorithms for generating and adapting learning paths, and a rigorous empirical study demonstrating efficacy. The findings show that ACG-driven PLPs led to greater learning gains, higher engagement, and increased satisfaction compared to traditional approaches.

8.2 Final Thoughts on the Transformative Potential of Adaptive Curriculum Graphs

Adaptive Curriculum Graphs hold considerable transformative potential for the future of AI-driven education. By creating deeply personalized, coherent, and effective learning journeys, ACGs ensure learning is scaffolded appropriately. The integration of dynamic adaptation mechanisms allows these systems to learn from learners. As these systems evolve to incorporate richer data and capabilities, they are poised to become a cornerstone technology in fostering effective and equitable educational experiences.

To Cite This Article Qiang SUN. (2025). Constructing and Empirically Validating Adaptive Curriculum Graphs for Personalized Learning Paths. *Artificial Intelligence Education Studies*, 1(4), 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.6914/aiese.010402>

Reference

- [1] Al-Abdullatif, A., & Gameil, A. (2021). The effect of digital learning nuggets on student engagement and learning outcomes. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(4), 2051–2072.
- [2] Bengio, Y., Louradour, J., Collobert, R., & Weston, J. (2009). Curriculum learning. *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, 41–48.
- [3] Brusilovsky, P., & Millán, E. (2007). User models for adaptive hypermedia and adaptive educational systems. *The Adaptive Web*, 4321, 3–53. Springer.

- [4] Chen, H., Wei, L., Chen, Y., & Du, B. (2018). Course recommendation for MOOCs based on deep learning and knowledge graph. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW)*, 117–122.
- [5] Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264–75278.
- [6] Chen, P., Lu, Y., Zheng, V. W., & Jensen, C. S. (2018). Prerequisite-driven deep knowledge tracing. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, 39–48.
- [7] Dessì, D., Fenu, G., Marras, M., & Recupero, D. R. (2019). AI-KG: An automatically generated knowledge graph of artificial intelligence. *Proceedings of the International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC)*, 97–113.
- [8] Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410–8415.
- [9] Huang, L., Wang, C. D., Chao, H. Y., Lai, J. H., & Philip, S. Y. (2019). A score-based approach for concept prerequisite relation learning from textbooks. *IEEE Access*, 7, 96392–96403.
- [10] Karamlou, A., Aletras, N., & Bontcheva, K. (2023). GNN-based curriculum learning for knowledge graph completion. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 37(1), 123–131.
- [11] Liang, C., Ye, J., Wang, Z., Pursel, B., & Giles, C. L. (2017). Investigating active learning for concept prerequisite learning. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 32(1).
- [12] Liu, N., Wang, Z., Zou, X., & Cheng, Y. (2022). Survey on applications of graph neural networks in education. *IEEE Access*, 10, 18860–18875.
- [13] Liu, Q., Shen, S., Zhen, Z., Dou, E., & Chen, E. (2019). Log-based understanding of student learning tactics through a latent variable model. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 31(10), 1869–1882.
- [14] Ma, W., Adesope, J. C., Nesbit, J. C., & Liu, Q. (2014). Intelligent tutoring systems and learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 106(4), 901–918.
- [15] Nabizadeh, A. H., Leal, J. P., & Rafsanjani, H. E. (2020). Learning path personalization and recommendation methods: A detailed survey. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103790.
- [16] Pan, L., Li, C., Li, J., & Tang, J. (2017). Prerequisite relation learning for concepts in MOOCs. *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, 1447–1456.
- [17] Wang, F., Liu, Q., Chen, E., Huang, Z., Chen, Y., Yin, Y., ... & Shuguang, W. (2020). Neural cognitive diagnosis for intelligent education systems. *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, 619–628.
- [18] Wang, S., Gong, Y., Wang, C., & Wang, H. (2023). Constructing educational knowledge graphs with multi-source heterogeneous data. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 16(3), 456–468.
- [19] Xie, H., Chu, H. C., Hwang, G. J., & Wang, C. C. (2019). Trends and development in technology-enhanced adaptive/personalized learning: A systematic review of journal publications from 2007 to 2017. *Computers & Education*, 140, 103599.
- [20] Zhou, Y., Huang, C., Hu, Q., Zhu, J., & Tang, Y. (2018). Personalized learning full-path recommendation model based on LSTM neural networks. *Information Sciences*, 444, 135–152.

Editor Xin HUANG cpcl@cpcl.hk